



人  
工  
The  
Shanghai AI  
智能 Lectures  
授  
课

# The ShanghAI Lectures

An experiment in global teaching

## Lecture 8

Grab Bag, Summary and topics to discuss

Fabio Bonsignorio



## World population projected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050

29 July 2015, New York

The current world population of 7.3 billion is expected to reach 8.5 billion by 2030, 9.7 billion in 2050 and 11.2 billion in 2100, according to a new UN DESA report, "World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision", launched today.

"Understanding the demographic changes that are likely to unfold over the coming years, as well as the challenges and opportunities that they present for achieving sustainable development, is key to the design and implementation of the new development agenda," said Wu Hongbo, UN Under-Secretary-General for Economic and Social Affairs.

Most of the projected increase in the world's population can be attributed to a short list of high-fertility countries mainly in Africa, or countries with already large populations. During 2015-2050, half of the world's population growth is expected to be concentrated in nine countries: India, Nigeria, Pakistan, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Ethiopia, United Republic of Tanzania, United States of America (USA), Indonesia and Uganda, listed according to the size of their contribution to the total growth.



# See for Yourself: How Arctic Ice Is Disappearing

SEPTEMBER 2015

## NEW RESEARCH IN

Physical Sciences


Social Sciences

**Trajectories of the Earth System in the Anthropocene**

Will Steffen, Johan Rockström, Katherine Richardson, Timothy M. Lenton, Carl Folke, Diana Liverman, Colin P. Summerhayes, Anthony D. Barnosky, Sarah E. Cornell, Michel Crucifix, Jonathan F. Donges, Ingo Fetzer, Steven J. Lade, Marten Scheffer, Ricarda Winkelmann, and Hans Joachim Schellnhuber

PNAS August 14, 2018 115 (33) 8252–8259; published ahead of print August 6, 2018 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1810141115>

Edited by William C. Clark, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, and approved July 6, 2018 (received for review June 19, 2018)





# Older and newer attempts


**Juanelo Torriano alias Gianello della Torre, (XVI century)** a craftsman from Cremona, built for Emperor Charles V a mechanical young lady who was able to walk and play music by picking the strings of a real lute.



**Hiroshi Ishiguro, early XXI century**


Director of the Intelligent Robotics Laboratory, part of the Department of Adaptive Machine Systems at Osaka University, Japan

# Recent successes: the first wave




Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future

# The first wave



Worldwide annual supply of industrial robots 2001 – 2019\*



**First wave**



Methodologies and Technologies for Robotics and Mechatronics

Robotics body of knowledge

2nd crest

Industrial robotics




1960 2000

Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future



# The second wave




Membership development

280 member organisations



Legend:

- Industry
- Research
- Associate
- euRobotics AISBL



Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future


# The second wave



Data are very important, but they are not all in a digital economy. ACTIONS, MOBILITY and STRENGTH are also needed! **Robotics**: a great opportunity to **innovate, connect** and **transform**. **Robotics is technology and business, but it is also creativity and fun!**

“[...] The size of the robotics market is projected to grow substantially to 2020s. This is a global market and Europe’s traditional competitors are fully engaged in exploiting it. Europe has a 32% share of the industrial market. Growth in this market alone is estimated at 8%-9% per annum. Predictions of up to 25% annual growth are made for the service sector where Europe holds a 63% share of the non-military market. [...]”

“[...] From today’s €22bn worldwide revenues, robotics industries are set to achieve annual sales of between €50bn and €62bn by 2020. [...]”



Robotics is one of the 12 disruptive technologies identified by McKinsey

# Not everything worked as expected!

The second wave: the current approach shows some limitations

On the other hand the debriefing of DARPA DRC shows clearly that humanoid robots are **still far from the required level of capabilities** in fact many metrics, such as **time-to-completion**, are highly application or task specific.



**According to H.Yanco a minimum of 9 people were needed to teleoperate latest DRC's robots!!!**

# The “frame problem” (1)

From: Dennett\*, D.C. 1987. “Cognitive Wheels: The Frame Problem in AI”, in Pylyshyn, Z.W., ed., *The Robot’s Dilemma: The Frame Problem in Artificial Intelligence*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, pp. 41–64.

**R1: (naive 😊) robot**

INSIDE(R1,ROOM)  
ON(BATTERY,WAGON)  
PULLOUT(WAGON, ROOM)

\*Daniel Dennett,  
American philosopher  
(philosophy of mind)





Illustration: (adapted from) **Isabelle Follath**

# Not as expected






# The “frame problem” (2)

From: Dennett\*, D.C. 1987. “Cognitive Wheels: The Frame Problem in AI”, in Pylyshyn, Z.W., ed., *The Robot’s Dilemma: The Frame Problem in Artificial Intelligence*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, pp. 41–64.

**R1D1:**  
**Robot Deducer**  
(it deduces the implications  
of its own acts)

\*Daniel Dennett,  
American philosopher  
(philosophy of mind)



```
INSIDE(R1D1,ROOM)
ON(BATTERY,WAGON)
COLOUR(PULLOUT(WAGON, ROOM))
=UNCHANGED
...
...
WHEELS(REVOLUTIONS, PULLOUT(.))=..
```




Illustration: (adapted from) **Isabelle Follath**

**In the meantime...**



# The “frame problem” (3)


From: Dennett\*, D.C. 1987. “Cognitive Wheels: The Frame Problem in AI”, in Pylyshyn, Z.W., ed., *The Robot’s Dilemma: The Frame Problem in Artificial Intelligence*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, pp. 41–64.

**R2D1(aka ‘Hamlet’)**

**Robot Relevant**

**•Deducer**

**(it discards not relevant implications of its own acts)**




```
INSIDE(R2D1,ROOM)
ON(BATTERY,WAGON)
COLOUR(PULLOUT(WAGON, ROOM))
=NotRelevant
...
...
WHEELS(REVOLUTIONS, PULLOUT(.))=
NotRelevant
...
Not Relevant...Not Relevant...
Not Relevant....
```

Illustration: (adapted from) **Isabelle Follath**

**You know the story...**



# Summary of Dennett's points

- obvious to humans, not obvious to (GOFAI) robots (robot only has symbolic model/representation of world)
- 
- vast number of potential side effects, mostly irrelevant


**distinction between relevant and irrelevant inferences**



# Pursuing new frontiers: The robotics bottleneck

Today, more functionality means:

- **more** complexity, energy, computation, cost
- **less** controllability, efficiency, robustness, safety



Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future

# The Robotics waves



## Third wave

Bionics  
&  
Bioinspiration

Simplification,  
Self-  
organisation

Cognitive  
Science

Society

## Second wave



1st crest

Advanced,  
Future and Emerging  
Robotics & Cognitive  
Systems

2nd crest

Industrial  
leadership  
and  
societal impact



1st crest

New wave of  
use-centered  
science-based  
radical  
innovations

2nd crest

Sustainable industrial  
leadership and ubiquitous  
societal impact



## First wave



Methodologies  
and Technologies  
for Robotics and  
Mechatronics

Robotics body  
of knowledge

2nd crest

Industrial  
robotics

FLAG-ERA  
RoboCom++  
FET  
FLAGSHIP  
Proof-of-  
concept  
Project



1960

2014


2017

2020

2030

Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future

# BioRobotics and Bionics convergence



Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future

From Paolo Dario

# SCIENCE ROBOTICS



# Science Robotics

AAAS

[Home](#) [News](#) [Journals](#) [Topics](#) [Careers](#)

[Science](#) [Science Advances](#) [Science Immunology](#) [Science Robotics](#) [Science Signaling](#) [Science Translational Medicine](#)

## Softness is a strength

Soft robotics expand the boundaries of robot abilities

Massimo Bregoli/Kepach Production






Rethinking Robotics for the Robot Companion of the future




# The marvellous progress of Robotics and AI...'Look Ma, No Hands' syndrome?






# R-article Life Cycle



It is possible to publish a short article  
About the results replication of an R-article. article.  
Such articles will be peer reviewed like any other  
RAM article and will undergo a data and code  
consistency check.

Similarly, the authors of the original R-article will be  
able to submit, again, in the form of a short peer-  
reviewed article, a reply to the authors of the r-  
article, again, with a data and code consistency  
check.

# R-article Life Cycle



Check:

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8036322>

and

RAM authors guidelines here (section 9.):

<http://www.ieee-ras.org/publications/ram/information-for-authors>

**\_\_R(eproducibile)-articles can already be submitted!!!\_\_**

# Introduction R-Articles

 FROM THE FIELD

## A New Kind of Article for Reproducible Research in Intelligent Robotics

By Fabio Bonsignorio

**T**he reproducibility of experimental results is a key characteristic of the scientific method. Despite that, in robotics and artificial intelligence (AI)—maybe for good reason—replicating experiments in many cases has, so far, been limited or outright lacking. This fact hampers both research progress and results exploitation [2], [10] and becomes even more relevant when new editorial initiatives, such as [14], increasingly regard (intelligent) robotics as a science.

Reporting practices and formats are a key issue if we want to have reproduc-

ible robotics and AI papers. After years of discussions in a long series of workshops [9] (Figure 1), the time is ripe for addressing this issue, and we are doing it! The first-ever special issue of a high-level, reputable robotics publication claiming the reproducibility of the published results was in this magazine in September 2015 [9] (Figure 2).

Reproducibility is now a priority for the IEEE, as shown by the fact that the organization recently decided to integrate the CodeOcean platform [15] in the websites of several magazines and journals. And we are going to do the same.

In the meantime, we are in the middle of what has been dubbed a *reproducibility crisis* hitting well-established scientific fields ranging from medicine to psychol-

ogy [3]–[5], [13]. For example, a recent study [11] discovered that only about a third of psychology papers are reproducible. The situation is better in cancer research [12] but is still not optimal. However, the situation in robotics and AI is different. While, in other disciplines, a shared methodology for performing experiments has been in place for a long time and the problems might come from organizational, societal, and sometimes ethical causes, in robotics, the problems are of a methodological and even epistemological nature [9, pp. 32–35]. In the September 2015 *IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine (RAM)* special issue [9], we gave authors a large degree of latitude in terms of how to define reproducibility and good reporting

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/MRA.2017.2722918  
Date of publication: 13 September 2017

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=8036322>


# Reproducible Research now an IEEE priority

FROM THE EDITOR'S DESK

## Research Reproducibility and Performance Evaluation for Dependable Robots

By Eugenio Guglielmelli

This issue of *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine (RAM)* focuses on reproducibility and measurability of robotics research. In this issue, the IEEE Robotics and Automation Society demonstrates that we are well aware of and perfectly in line with the reproducibility of research. This ability was introduced for computer systems in 1992 by the late Dr. Jean Claude Laprie, a senior researcher at




## R(eproducible)-Articles on IEEE R&A Magazine

# Is It Alive?

---

**Big Questions lie in front of us!**





# Two views of intelligence

classical:  
**cognition as computation**



embodiment  
**PARADIGM CLASHES**  
**cognition emergent from sensory-  
motor and interaction processes**



# Soft Robotics: a working definition

## Variable impedance actuators and stiffness control

- \* Actuators with variable impedance
- \* Compliance/impedance control
- \* Highly flexible (hyper-redundant or continuum) robots





IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine,  
Special Issue on Soft Robotics, 2008  
A. Albu-Schaffer et al. (Ed.s)



## Use of soft materials in robotics

- \* Robots made of soft materials that undergo high deformations in interaction
- \* Soft actuators and soft components
- \* Control partially embedded in the robot morphology and mechanical properties



Kim S., Laschi C., and Trimmer B. (2013) Soft robotics: a bioinspired evolution in robotics, *Trends in Biotechnology*, April 2013.  
Laschi C. and Cianchetti M. (2014) "Soft Robotics: new perspectives for robot bodyware and control" *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 2(3)

# Challenges

*The observation of natural intelligent systems and the practice of robotics research and engineering lead us to think that 'intelligence' (and 'meaning' if not 'consciousness') are 'emerging' characteristics springing from the evolution of loosely coupled networks of intelligent 'embodied' and 'situated' agents.*

# Challenges

- 1. How the dynamics of an (embodied) agent is related to its information/computing capabilities (morphological computation)?*
- 2. How information/computing capabilities behave in a multi body agent system?*
- 3. How 'intelligence' and 'meaning' emerge from networks of embodied agent?*

How to quantify?



# Complete agents

Masano Toda's  
Fungus Eaters



# **Properties of embodied agents**

- **subject to the laws of physics**
- **generation of sensory stimulation through interaction with real world**
- **affect environment through behavior**
- **complex dynamical systems**
- **perform morphological computation**


# **Parallel, loosely coupled processes**

**'Intelligent' behavior:**


- **emergent from system-environment interaction**
- **based on large number of parallel, loosely coupled processes**
- **asynchronous**
- **coupled through agent's sensory-motor system and environment**

# The subsumption architecture: the “behavior-based” approach

**classical, cognitivist**




**“behavior-based”, subsumption**



# Mimicking insect walking

- **subsumption architecture**

six-legged robot “Ghenghis”



# Insect walking




Holk Cruse, German biologist

- **no central control for leg coordination**

neural  
connections →

- **only communication**
- **between neighboring leg**






# **Scaling issues: the “Brooks-Kirsh” debate**

- insect level → human level?**
- David Kirsh (1991): “Today the earwig, tomorrow man?”**
- Rodney Brooks (1997): “From earwigs to humans.”**

# **lida's “Puppy’s” simple control**


- **rapid locomotion in biological systems**
- **emergence of behavior**



Design and construction:  
**Fumiya lida, then AI Lab, UZH and ETH-Z**

# Implications of embodiment

## Self-stabilization





Cruse's Ant, Iida's 'Puppy',

...




Pfeifer et al., Science,  
16 Nov. 2007

# Information self-structuring

- Experiments:
- Lungarella and Sporns, 2006  
**Mapping information flow  
in sensorimotor networks**  
**PLoS Computational Biology**




# Today's humanoids



THE BIOR  
INSTITUTE

# Conceptually different humanoid designs (mainly research)

---



THE BIOROBOTICS  
INSTITUTE



Scuola Superiore  
Sant'Anna





**How to build a ‘new paradigm’ robot  
like the Cornell Ranger able to wave  
the hands like NAO?  
(and manipulate objects...)**

**a) Cornell ranger**

**b) Nao walking down a ramp**

**c) Andy Ruina’s ‘passive walker’  
walking down a ramp**

# Carry-home messages (and remarks) (1)


We will need to dramatically increase work productivity not only to cope with a shrinking work-force and growing number of people in old and very old age, but also to mobilize resources to help the ecologically sustainable development of the global economy and provide food and infrastructures to billions of more people.

- A steep progress in Robotics and AI seems a dramatic necessity in this context.
- The Advanced Mechatronic Technologies of the 'Second Wave' will have tremendous impact
- it seems unlikely that they can provide satisfactory 'companions' or life-like robustness and adaptation
- An evidence-based answer to this question requires a boost in the ways research is performed and reported
- To enable the 'Third Wave' of Robotics a massive effort will be needed (also in terms of dramatically improved research methodologies as existing results are 'anecdotal')


# Carry-home messages (and remarks) (2)

- We will have to structure/digitalize living spaces to be able to exploit the existing and close future available technologies
- Given the cognitive/perception limits of current robots teleoperation, scalable autonomy and in general human-in-the-loop solutions will work better
- Non obvious human-in-the-loop solutions: prosthetics, body-augmentation, artificial organs, high-bandwidth BCI/BRI
- We should take care of the disciplinary interfaces with traslational genomics, connectomics, brain sciences, digital medicine, emerging rejuvenating technologies, to pursue successful holistic solutions for late age healthy and independent living
- We will still (sometimes remotely operating) need human caregivers: we should not leave elders andd impaired persons alone with deceptive robot 'companions'(it would/will make sense iff/when we will have conscious robots, that would open a huge number of different issues, though). Hopefully Industry 4.0, Robotics and AI ( and what will follow) will free human resources!

*The 'research space' we should – imo - explore (and that I have actually been exploring and I'm continuing to explore....)*




*The ‘research space’ we should – imo - explore (and that I have actually been exploring and I’m continuing to explore....)*





from Joshua Bongard, University of Vermont

# The link between Morphological Computation and Soft Robotics



$$T \approx 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{L}{g}}$$





(Andy Ruina)




$$T=f(l/g)$$

*Fixed speed!*



(Wikipedia)




(Fumihiko Asano)

$$T=f(l/g)$$

$$l=f(\text{controlled input})$$

*Speed can change!*



(Yale Image Finder)

Quantitative Modelling of the trade-offs between physical morphology (and associated dynamics) and information processing is crucial

That's what Morphological Computation is about.  
It explains why 'soft' components help many task performances and can provide design guidance.

*the promise of robotics....*





# Human centered design Science, Technology, Innovation for a Global Renaissance



**Feel free to contact me or the guest lecturers after the lectures**

**Let's strengthen our community**

**Let's start to scale up, I will contact you in the coming weeks, for the Koan++ 😊**

**Thank you!!!**



**2019**  
**The ShanghAI Lectures**  
**10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Edition**

